

4) Five sites' exercises: 70% of final grade.

These mapping exercises will investigate the context of sites visited in terms of the course readings. All exercises must be submitted in both digital and hard copy formats. These are due as indicated in the course schedule. The first 4 sites, each worth 15% of your grade, are graded on 3 things equally – thesis, graphic analysis, and innovation. The 5<sup>th</sup> map will be graded using the innovation rubric only, making it worth 10% of your grade. These will be graded according to the following rubrics:

Thesis-

- 4.5-5 = Strongly articulated position on the readings (with evidence of comprehension), clear and innovative insight that goes beyond a simple understanding of the field study, effective use of the English language
- 4/4.25 = Articulated position on the readings (with evidence of comprehension), an understanding of and some insight into the field study
- 3.5/3.75 = A less well articulated position on the readings (with evidence of confusion on the readings), a satisfactory understanding of the field study
- Below 3.5 = little to no position on the readings (with evidence of a lack of comprehension), an un-satisfactory understanding of the field study

Graphics-

- 4.5-5 = Clear and concise graphics that show evidence of an in-depth analysis, evidence of precision in the drawing, excellency in terms of accuracy in interpretation of the methods established by the authors introduced and in terms of the interpretation of the site
- 4/4.25 = Clear and concise graphics that show evidence of analysis, good understanding in terms of the methods established by the authors in terms of the interpretation of the site
- 3.5/3.75 = Graphics that show satisfactory evidence of analysis, evidence of the methods established by the authors (with some confusion based on the interpretation)
- Below 3.5 = Graphics that show little evidence of analysis, little to no evidence of understanding or using the methods established by the authors

Innovation-

- 4.5-5 = Strong connection between the graphic analysis developed and the author's methods established, Clear and concise graphics that show evidence of an in-depth analysis, evidence of precision in the drawing, excellency in terms of interpretation of the site
- 4/4.25 = A good connection between the graphic analysis developed and the author's methods established, clear and concise graphics that show evidence of analysis, good understanding in terms of the interpretation of the site
- 3.5/3.75 = A satisfactory connection between the graphic analysis developed and the author's methods established, graphics that show satisfactory evidence of analysis (with some confusion based on the interpretation of the site or in the method of analysis)
- Below 3.5 = Little to no connection between the graphic analysis developed and the author's methods established, graphics that show little evidence of analysis